

ANNEX 11. Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable:

Design, printing, recording and publishing promo material (Task 5.3)

Author(s) responsible for the deliverable: Maja Novković WP leader: Dr Jasmina Kamberović QA reviewer(s): Dr Milica Stojković Piperac

| Assurance point | Issues to be addressed | Assessment | Comments | Recommendations | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------|----------|-----------------|--|
| 1.Compliance with the | Does the deliverable comply with the | × yes | | | |
| objective of ECOBIAS | overall objectives of the project? | □ no | | | |
| | | partially | | | |
| Compliance with the | Does the deliverables comply with the WP | × yes | | | |
| specific objectives of the | objectives as specified in the WP | □ no | | | |
| workpackage | description? | partially | | | |
| 3. Correspondence with the | Does the deliverable correspond with the | × yes | | | |
| description of work of the | activity description as specified in the | 🗆 no | | | |
| relevant activity | application Form? | partially | | | |
| 4. Compliance with the | Is the deliverable presented using the | × yes | | | |
| deliverables format | Project's deliverable format | 🗆 no | | | |
| 5. Adequacy of | Examples of complementary info: | × yes | | | |
| complementary information | External sources used | □ no | | | |
| | Bibliography | | | | |
| | List of contacts | | | | |
| | Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) | | | | |
| 6. Adequacy of written | Level of written English | × excellent | | | |
| language | | adequate | | | |
| | | 🗆 poor | | | |



| Overall assessment and | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| suggestions for improvement | | |
| | Promo material designed and created on time. | |
| | | |
| Date of Qu | lity Assurance performed by QAT reviewers: 10/04/2021 | |
| Deadline f | r submission of amended version of the deliverables: | |

M. Ciemuelle musers

