

## ANNEX 11. Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable: Dissemination plan (Task 5.1)

Author(s) responsible for the deliverable: Dr Dušanka Cvijanović WP leader: Dr Jasmina Kamberović QA reviewer(s): Dr Milica Stojković Piperac

Assurance point	Issues to be addre	Issues to be addressed		Comments	Recommendations
1.Compliance with the objective of ECOBIAS		Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?			
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage		Does the deliverables comply with the WP objectives as specified in the WP description?		Indicators of successful dissemination should be improved	Indicators of successful dissemination should be presented in more details
<ol> <li>Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity</li> </ol>	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the application Form?		× yes □ no □ partially		
Compliance with the Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format		× yes □ no			
5. Adequacy of complementary information External sources under Bibliography List of contacts Methodology uses		sed	× yes □ no		
5. Adequacy of written anguage	Level of written Er	Level of written English			
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvem	ent	Dissemination plan is clearly written in accordance with the WP objectives. However, some parts needs to be improved.			
Date	of Quality Assurance pe	rformed by QAT reviewers: 15/10/	2020		VALSA VAS
Door	ling for submission of an	nended version of the deliverables	. 01/02/2021		



le. Cirquelle herege