

ANNEX 11. Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable:

Development of Quality and Assurance Plan (Task 4.2)

Author(s) responsible for the deliverable: Dr Milica Stojković Piperac WP leader: Dr Antun Alegro QA reviewer(s): Dr Dušanka Cvijanović

| Assurance point | Issues to be addressed | | Assessment | Comments | Recommendations | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 1.Compliance with the objective of ECOBIAS | Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project? | | × yes □ no □ partially | | | |
| 2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage | Does the deliverables comply with the WP objectives as specified in the WP description? | | × yes □ no □ partially | | | |
| 3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity | Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the application Form? | | × yes □ no □ partially | | | |
| 4. Compliance with the deliverables format | Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format | | × yes □ no | | | |
| 5. Adequacy of Examples of complementary complementary information External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for | | | × yes □ no | | | |
| 6. Adequacy of written language | Level of written English | | × excellent □ adequate □ poor | | | |
| Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement QAP is carefully develop | | AP is carefully developed, con | taining all adequate informat | ion. 14 Annexes are inclu | ded. | |
| Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAT reviewers: 10/10/2020 | | | | | | |
| Deadline | for submission of amen | ded version of the deliverable | s: | | | |

