

## ANNEX 11. Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable:

## Report on Analysis of existing curricula related to EMAB in both Programme and Partner Country partners (Task 1.3)

Author(s) responsible for the deliverable: Dr Cristina Hartmann Fatu WP leader: Dr Djuradj Milošević QA reviewer(s): Dr Milica Stojković Pipeac

| Assurance point  | Issues to be addressed  | Assessment                          | Comments   | Recommendations  |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1.Compliance with the<br>objective of ECOBIAS  | Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?   | × yes<br>□ no<br>□ partially        |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Compliance with the<br/>specific objectives of the<br/>workpackage</li> </ol> | Does the deliverables comply with the WP<br>objectives as specified in the WP<br>description?                                       | × yes<br>□ no<br>□ partially        |  |  |
| 3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity                | Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the application Form?                                 | × yes<br>□ no<br>□ partially        |  |  |
| 4. Compliance with the<br>deliverables format  | Is the deliverable presented using the<br>Project's deliverable format  | □ yes<br>× no                       | The report does not fully<br>correspond to the<br>proposed deliverable<br>format | Add the introduction page with general information on the project and table of content |
| 5. Adequacy of<br>complementary information  | Examples of complementary info:<br>External sources used<br>Bibliography<br>List of contacts<br>Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) | ×yes<br>□ no                        |  |  |
| 6. Adequacy of written<br>language   | Level of written English  | × excellent<br>□ adequate<br>□ poor |  |  |



| Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement                         | The report contains all required information but needs to be improved to achieve suitable form. |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAT reviewers: 11/10/2020           |   |  |  |
| Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: 18/10/2020 |   |  |  |

M. Ciezuelle musere

